# Program Review Summary Page

For Instructional Program

Programor Area(s) of Study under Review: Respiratory Care

Term/Year of Review:Spring 2022

Summaryof Program Review

A. Major Findings

collection process es (based on the S

- o RESP-290B (45.0%)
- o RESP-292 (45.0%)
- o RESP-200 (31.8%)
- o RESP-220 (31.8%)
- o RESP-210 (27.3%)
- o RESP-230 (27.3%)
- o RESP-250 (27.3%)
- o RESP-185 (22.7%)

#### Courses with enrollment decreases:

- o RESP-150 (-12.5%)
- o RESP-160 (-12.5%)
- o RESP-170 (-12.5%)
- o RESP-175 (-12.5%)

## **Program Reflection**

The program continues to receive over 40 applications per stantzently we have received 39 applications so far for the 2022 Fall Start. Clinical sittenstraints limits us to a start of 25 studenter cohort. The decrease in enrollment noted in RESP 150, 160, 170 and 175 are a reflection of our typical attrition time first semester of the program.

2. Average Class Size

=: 7:10:sig0 0:sig0 0:sig0								
	2018-2019		2019-2020		2020-2021		ThreeYear	
	Sections	Average	Sections	Average	Sections	Average	Average	Trend
		Size		Size		Size	Section	
							Size	
RESP120	1	26.0	1	28.0	1	25.0	26.3	-3.8%
RESP130	1	26.0	1	28.0	1	25.0	26.3	-3.8%
RESP140	1	26.0	1	28.0	1	25.0	26.3	-3.8%
RESP150	1	24.0	1	27.0	1	21.0	24.0	-12.5%
RESP160	1	24.0	1	27.0	1	21.0	24.0	-12.5%

Institutional Average* 1,313	24.8	1,348	24.6	1,171	25.9	25.1	4.4%
------------------------------	------	-------	------	-------	------	------	------

Source: SQL Enrollment and Course Sections Files

Average Section Size across the thyear period for courses, and both within academic years and across the three-year period for the program and institutional levels is calculated as:

Total # Enrollments
Total # Sections

It is not the average of the three annual averages.

Concurrentcourses are reported as one observation.

- x RESP30 and other RESP30 sections reported as RESP0
- x RESP210 and other RESP10 sections reported as RESP0

<u>RPIE Analysis</u>: Over the past three years, the Respiratory Care Program has claimed an average of 23.3 students per section. The average class size in the program has been lower the average class size of 25.1 students per section across the institution during this period. Average class size in the program increased by 17.8% between 2018-2019 and 2020-2021. Average class size at the institutional level increased by 4.4% over the same period.

Average class size in the following courses changed by more than 10% ( $\pm$ 10%) between 2018-2019 and 2020-2021:

Courses with increases in average class size:

- o RESP-260 (45.0%)
- o RESP-270 (45.0%)
- o RESP-280 (45.0%)
- o RESP-290 (45.0%)
- o RESP-290B (45.0%)
- o RESP-292 (45.0%)
- o RESP-200 (31.8%)
- o RESP-220 (31.8%)
- o RESP-210 (27.3%)
- o RESP-230 (27.3%)
- o RESP-250 (27.3%)
- o RESP-185 (22.7%)

Courses with decreases in average class size:

- o RESP-150 (-12.5%)
- o RESP-160 (-12.5%)
- o RESP-170 (-12.5%)
- o RESP-175 (-12.5%)

ProgramReflection

## 3. Fill Rate and Productivity

Fill Rate*				
	Enrollments*	Capacity	Fill Rate	
2018-2019	404	530	76.2%	
2019-2020	444	560	79.3%	
2020-2021	475	560	84.8%	

RPIE Analysis: Fill rates within the Respiratory Care Program tend to be lower than the fill rate at the institutional level, although the program-level rate exceeded the three-year institutional rate in 2020-2021. [Compare program-level rate of 80.2% to institution-level rate of 82.1% over the past three years.] Between 2018-2019 and 2019-2020, both enrollment and capacity increased, resulting in an increase in fill rate (due to a higher rate of increase in enrollment). Between 2019-2020 and 2020-2021, enrollment increased while capacity remained stable, resulting in an increase in fill rate.

Productivity ranges from 6.8 to 9.3 over the past three years. [Productivity has not been calculated at the institutional level.] The three-year program productivity of 8.1 is lower than the target level of 17.5, which reflects 1 FTEF (full-time equivalent faculty) accounting for 17.5 FTES (full-time equivalent students) across the academic year. (This target reflects 525 weekly student contact hours for one full-time student across the academic year.)

\*Note: Fill rates and productivity reported in the table do not include three Respiratory Care section offerings for summer terms over the past three years. As a result, the enrollment figures reported here might differ from those reported in Section I.A.1.

#### Program Reflection:

The program continues to be imited with how many students can be enrolled due to challenges with clinical placement availability which has been exacerbated the past two years due to the pandemic. The program is actively engaged in seeking new clinical opportunities which would then be opportunities which would be opportunities whi

## 4. Labor Market Demand

Economic Development Department Standard	Numeric Change in Employment	Projected Growth (% Change in	
Description (SOC Code)9-1126			

## B. Momentum

1. Retention and Successful Course CompletioRates

		tentionRates oss Three Years)		Successful Course Completierate (Across Three Years)		
Lovol	Rate	CourseRatevs. ProgramRate		Rate	CourseRatevs. ProgramRate	
Level	Rate	Above	Below	Nate	Above	Below
RESP120	94.4%		Χ	94.4%		Х
RESPI30	94.4%	•	X	94.4%	-	X

rates for RESP-120, RESP-130, and RESP-140 were significantly lower than the program-level rate. There were no courses with retention rates that were significantly higher than the program-level rate. The retention rate for the Respiratory Care Program falls in the 91<sup>st</sup> percentile among program-level retention rates (across 59 instructional programs, over the past three years).

Over the past three years, the successful course completion rate for the Respiratory Care Program was significantly higher than the rate at the institutional level. The successful course completion rates for RESP-120, RESP-130, RESP-140, and RESP-220 were significantly lower than the program-level rate. There were no courses with successful course completion rates that were significantly higher than the program-level rate. The successful course completion rate for the Respiratory Care Program falls in the 98<sup>th</sup> percentile among program-level successful course completion rates (across 59 instructional programs, over the past three years).

Over the past three years, the difference between retention and successful course completion at the program level (0.4%) was significantly lower than the difference at the institutional level (14.7%). This figure represents the proportion of non-passing grades assigned to students (i.e., grades of D, F, I, NP).

No Respiratory Care Program courses claimed differences between retention and successful course completion that exceeded 10%.

## Program Reflection

Although most of the program's attrition normally occurs in the first semester, which is to be expected in challenging clinical/vocational program, we continue to have excellent retention in subsequent semiesters in large part to our dedicated facult@ur faculty successfulengages our students, deliver expert level content, motivate and support the students' needs.

#### 2. Student Equity

	RetentionRates		Successful Course Completionates		
	(Across Three Years)		(Across Three Years)		
	Program Institution		ProgramLevel	Institution Level	
	Level	Level			
African American/Black	95.3%	86.8%	95.3%	65.0%	
Latinx/Hispanic			99.6%	72.6%	
First Generation			98.2%	74.4%	

Source: SQL Enrollment Files

Bold italics denote a statistically significant difference between rates at the program and institutional levels with the lower of the two rates in bold italics

Shaded cells pertaining to retention rates indicate that statistically significant differences for those groupswere not found at the institutional level.

Note: Grades of EW (Excused Withdrawfall) spring 2020 and beyonare not included in the calculations of the threavear retention and successful course completion rates reported above. This approach reflects the standard recommended research practice of not including EWs in either numerator or the denominator for these rates.

<u>RPIE Analysis</u>: This analysis of student equity focuses on the three demographic groups with significantly lower retention and/or successful course completion rates found at the institutional level (vs. the corresponding rates among all other demographic groups, combined) over the past three

Source: SQL Award Files

\*Time to degreécertificate within the program reported among cohorts with at least 10 graduates within the academic year. Asterisk indicates that data have been suppressed.

+Average time to degree/certificate was calculated among students who completed a degree/certificatewithin 10 years (between first year of enrollment at NVC and award conferral year). Among 2042019completers, the averageme to degree/certificate was calculated among students who enrolled at NVC for the timest in 2009-2010 or later. Among 20122020completers, the average time to degree was calculated among students who enrolled at NVC for the first time in 1202011 or later.

RPIE Analysis: The number of

Among Respiratory Care Program students, licensure exam pass rates for part 1 of the exam have consistently exceeded the program-set standard (of 80%). Licensure exam pass rates for part 1 met the stretch goal (of 100%) in one of the three years.

Among Respiratory Care Program students, licensure exam pass rates for part 2 of the exam exceeded the program-set standard (of 80%) in two of the three years. Licensure exam pass rates for part 2 of the exam have not met the stretch goal (of 100%).

## **Program Reflection**

The Respiratory Care Programs offers an intensive/www program that his ighly respected in the field and a nationally recognized educator in the discipline. Students are held to high standards, are supported with faculty expertise, knowledge, mentoring, and readrid experience so that they are ready to enter the workforce upon completion. The students benefit from the endprogram study program and test taking strategy seminars held by current and former faculty uite simply, a student who completes the program is truly qualified to take and pass the licensure examsher first attempt.

#### II. CURRICULUM

A. Courses

SubjectSubje4BDC -2.5 (i.4 (r)-0.7 (se)s)]TJ 0 Tc]TJ 0 B<</MCID 7 >>BDC -3d ( )Tj E</MCI0 N ubj3 (e)-3 (9.9 (t)]TJ

 RESP
 290B
 8/14/2020
 No
 X

 RESP
 292
 8/20/2012
 Yes

IV.

## Description of Current Program Resourceslative to Plan:

- 1. The program has a dedicated, **ftithe** Clinical Coordinator that will pursue new clinical partners.
- 2. The program has a dedicated, ftilhe Program Coordinator and Clinical Coordinator that posses the required education and experience to support the plantfer a BSRC at Napa Valley College.

## V. PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS

#### A. Recent Improvements

- x RESP 211 was absorbed into 210 in order to ensure continuity and effective coordination
- x RESP 230 Neo/Peds Lab was added to support RESP 220 (didactic portion)
- x Clinical support was increased by hiring additional clinical instructors
- x RESP 260 was increased by 30 minutes to facilitate adequate delivery of material
- x RESP 175 has been revised/improved for implementation in Spring 2023 with minor changes to reflect recent changes in the field of delivery of services
- x Highfidelity simulation laboras moved from Yountville to the HEOC Building

## B. Effective Practices

x Program has dedicated faculty withwaide range

#### Feedback and Follows Form

#### Completed by Supervising Administrator:

Robert Harris, Senior Dean

Date:

4/29/2022

Strengths and successes of the programevidenced by nalysis of data, outcomes assessment, and curriculum

The Respirator Care Program has been, and continues to be, a shining star in its delivery of material, s of student success, and the education/training of competent and immediately employable students who are recognized by healthcare professionals as being well trained and educated. The continue continue continue continue continue can be recognized by retention and persistence rates and ultimately the first tensure examination pass rates has resulted in national recognition of the program for its excellence.

The faculty continues to be responsive the revision of curriculum within the program to insure students receive the most recent/upto-date education that will benefit them when they enter the workforce and practice as a Licensed Respiratory Care Practitioner.

A significant component of the success of the program and student success is the mentoring, caring, and nurturing atmosphere that students enter when they come to the program. The faculty are all licensed healthcare professionals who are, with few exceptions, practicing their discipline at hospitals and other facilities and seeing patients on a regular basis. Theweeld application of theory into practice results in well trained students. The faculty expectations for student success is very high and the students of the students in the program. Thus, the students "rise to the occasion" and are successful.

#### Areas of concern, if any:

Explore opportunities for new clinical facilities willing to partner with the programma intain/strengthen the relationships that the program currently enjoys with current facility partners.

#### Recommendations for improvement:

#### Anticipated Resource Nea

i Resource Lyne	Description of NeedInitial, Including Justification and Direct Linkage to State of the Program)
	Linkage to State of the Program)

Personnel: Faculty

Instructional Technology	Updated desktop computer capability for ftillne faculty and availability of portable technology for adjuncts.		
Facilities	Maintain classroom functionality.		
Operating Budget	Currently functional within 20222 posted budget.		
Professional Development/ Training	Licensure renewal for faculty/CEUs to maintain licenses.		
Library & Learning Materials	None specific		